

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1



		Audi	t Details				
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)				Sedex Site Reference: (only available on Sedex System)		ZS: 419443112	
Business name (Company name):	NubesDesign						
Site name:	NubesDesign 东莞市虎门婥意服装	设计室	• -				
Site address: (Please include full address)			Country:	Country:		China	
Site contact and job title:	Ms. Vivian Yep (Ge	neral	Manager)				
Site phone:	+86-13686033881		Site e-mail:			sign@hotmail.com o@nubesdesignfashion.com	
SMETA Audit Pillars:	Standards Safe		ealth & Description Environment 2- Description Description		nent	☐ Business Ethics	
Date of Audit:	24 May 2022						
Audit Company Name & Logo: Benchmarks Co., Ltd. (APSCA Audit Firm No.: 11600027) BENCHMARKS Your Partner in the Supply Chain					ease remov	e custo	mer of the site dex upload)

Audit Conducted By						
Affiliate Audit Company	\boxtimes	Purchaser		Retailer		
Brand owner		NGO		Trade Union		
Multi– stakeholder			Combined Audit ((select all that appl	у)	

Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - · Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - · Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - · Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): Nil

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Sue Su (CSCA21700008)

Team auditor: Nil

Interviewers: Sue Su (CSCA21700008)

Report writer: Sue Su

Report reviewer: Rina Huana

Date of declaration: 24 May 2022

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not quarantee that the audited site prior, during or post-audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



Audit Parameters

Audit Parameters					
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time in: 09:00 Day 1 Time out: 17:30	Day 2 Time in: N/A Day 2 Time out: N/A	Day 3 Time in: N/A Day 3 Time out: N/A		
B: Number of auditor days used:	1 MD (1 auditor in 1 da	(yc			
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other If other, please define:				
D: Was the audit announced?	Announced Semi – announced: Window detail: weeks Unannounced				
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes No If No, why not No N				
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	Yes No If Yes , please capture	detail in appropriate au	udit by clause		
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Ms. Vivian Yep (General Manager)				
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☑ No				
I: Previous audit date:	N/A				
J: Previous audit type:	N/A				
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	☐ Yes ☐ No				
TOT THIS GOOT	⊠ N/A				



Audit attendance Management **Worker Representatives** Senior management Worker Committee Union representatives representatives ☐ Yes ☐ No A: Present at the opening meeting? ⊠ Yes ☐ No ⊠ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No B: Present at the audit? ⊠ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No C: Present at the closing meeting? D: If Worker Representatives were N/A not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present) E: If Union Representatives were not There was no union in the factory. present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)

Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

			Corrective Action	Plan – non-comp	liances				
Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non-Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent re-occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90, 180, 365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
NC 1 3 Safety and Hygienic Conditions	N	Protective device was not used by employee. During site tour, it was noted that needle guards were equipped to all sewing machines, but 1 employee did not used the needle guard when operating the machine. 员工未使用安全防护装置。 审核中发现,企业所有的缝纫机都有安装挡针板,但1名员工在操作机器时未有使用挡针板。	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that factory shall give relevant safety training of machines to workers. 建议企业需要对员工进行机器设备相关的安全培训。	30	Desktop	Ms. Vivian Yep (General Manager)		
NC 2 5 Living Wages and Benefits	N	No social insurance participated. During management interview and worker interview, there was no employees participated in 5 types of social insurance (basic retirement insurance, unemployment insurance, disability caused by work-related injury insurance, medical insurance and maternity insurance). Remark: The factory had bought the commercial injury insurances to 15	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that the facility shall ensure all employees participate in all 5 types of social insurance schemes and therefore receive all of their statutory welfare to comply with the Law.	60	Follow Up	Ms. Vivian Yep (General Manager)		

					_			
		employees. Commercial accident insurance provided by "中华联合财产保险股份有限公司" (Insurance no.: 012016421125166020220406178, Valid period: 6 April 2022 to 5 April 2023). 无社会保险参保。 审核中发现,企业未有员工参加 5 种社会保险(养老、失业、工伤、医疗和生育保险)。 备注: 工厂为 15 名员工购买了商业意外伤害保险。有效期为 2022 年 4 月 6 日至 2023 年 4 月 5 日。		建议企业应保证所有员工均参加全部五种社会保险。				
NC 3 6 Working Hours	N	Monthly overtime hours exceeded the legal requirement (maximum 36 overtime hours per month). During document review, it was noted that sampled employees worked in excess of the statutory overtime hour limits. A review of attendance records for June 2021, November 2021 and March 2022 yielded the following: (1) 5 out of 10 sampled employees in excess of 36 overtime hours per month (i.e. maximum 74 hours) in June 2021. (2) 5 out of 10 sampled employees in excess of 36 overtime hours per month (i.e. maximum 76 hours) in November 2021. (3) 5 out of 10 sampled employees in excess of 36 overtime hours per month (i.e. maximum 78 hours) in March 2022. 月加班时间超过法规要求(最高每月 36 小时)。	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: Unstable production order	It is recommended that the facility should ensure the overtime of workers be in accordance with the legal requirements. 建议企业应确保工作时间符合国家法律。	60	Follow Up	Ms. Vivian Yep (General Manager)	



审核发现,抽样的员工加班时间超过了法定标准,抽样 2021 年 6 月、2021 年 11 月和 2022 年 3 月的考勤发现: (1) 5/10 名抽样员工的 2021 年 6 月加班时间超过了每月法定的 36 小时标准,最高为 74 小时。 (2) 5/10 名抽样员工的 2021 年 11 月加班时间超过了每月法定的 36 小时标准,最高为 76 小时。 (3) 5/10 名抽样员工的 2022 年 3 月加班时间超过了每月法定的 36 小时标准,最高为 78 小时。					
--	--	--	--	--	--

	Corrective Action Plan – Observations						
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)			
		Nil					

	Good examples				
Good example Number The reference number of the good example from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments			
	Nil				



Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature.						
A: Site Representative Signature:	Vivian Yep	Title General Manager				
		Date 24 May 2022				
B: Auditor Signature:	Sue Su	Title Auditor				
		Date 24 May 2022				
C: Please indicate below if you, the site	management, dispute any of the findings. No ne	eed to complete D-E, if no disputes.				
D: I dispute the following numbered non Nil	D: I dispute the following numbered non-compliances: Nil					
E: Signed: (If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete	N/A	Title				
a signature on this line)		Date				
F: Any other site Comments:						
Nil						

Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbEoPQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP